The rapid increase in greenhouse gas (GHG) concentration over the last 50 years has produced approximately 0.64 Celsius degrees rise in global mean temperature. The most worrisome effects of global warming include severe weather and related hydro-geologic events, many of which have been already occurring. Earth’s global surface temperature strongly depends on its surface radiative properties. Solar radiation absorbed by surface, together with a natural atmospheric greenhouse effect, represents the mechanism regulating Earth’s temperature. Therefore, Earth’s albedo modification by proper surfaces would reduce the portion of solar radiation absorbed by the Earth, and consequently decreased average global temperature. Quantification of reflecting surface effectiveness has been accomplished through mathematical relations, based on the energy balance among sky, atmosphere and earth surface. The correlation between the temperature reduction and the greenhouse gases decrease in the atmosphere has been calculated on the hypothesis that the temperature increase in the last century has been caused exclusively by the GHG concentration variation occurred in the same period. It has been estimated by a specific model that the reflecting surface area which is required to offset the effect, in terms of global mean temperature, of introducing in the atmosphere of 1 ton of CO$_2$eq is equal to 8 square meters. In terms of Radiative Forcing, a drop of 0.28 W/m$^2$ is obtained for each $10^6$ km$^2$ of surfaces with reflection coefficient of 0.9. Furthermore, greenhouse gas “abatement” cost through reflecting surfaces has been compared to the one obtained by the main renewable energy sources (see Tables 1 and 2).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Technology</th>
<th>Avoided emissions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ribbon silicon</td>
<td>365 gCO$_2$eq/kWh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photovoltaic mono/multicrystalline silicon</td>
<td>355</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermal solar (flat collector)</td>
<td>210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wind generator</td>
<td>394</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydroelectrical</td>
<td>385</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Technology</th>
<th>Avoided emissions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reflecting surfaces</td>
<td>130 kgCO$_2$eq/m$^2$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In the hypothesis of producing white “reflecting” surfaces through simple laying of one or more coats of paint, greenhouse gases (GHG) emissions strictly depend on
paint type. A surface coated with water based paint emits about 3 kgCO\textsubscript{2eq}/m\textsuperscript{2} over a period of 10 years. When the coating is made of solvent based paint, the GHG emissions double. However, such a contribution can be neglected: a surface with a 0.9 solar light reflection coefficient compensates, during its life cycle, for the introduction in the atmosphere of approximately 130 kgCO\textsubscript{2eq}/m\textsuperscript{2} (see Table 1). The above tables do not immediately allow a comparison of renewable energy sources with white reflecting technology in terms of avoided CO\textsubscript{2}, as the former are systems to produce energy whereas the latter is a system to mitigate Earth average global temperature. A comparison method is proposed, based on the cost necessary to avoid the same amount of emitted CO\textsubscript{2eq}:

\[ C_{CO2} = \frac{CP_{FR} - CP_{FF}}{E_{FF} - E_{FR}} \]

where \( CP_{FR} \) is the energy unit production cost in c€/kWh for renewable sources, \( CP_{FF} \) is the energy unit production cost in c€/kWh for traditional sources, \( E_{FR} \) are CO\textsubscript{2eq} emissions (kgCO\textsubscript{2eq}/kWh) with renewable energy sources, \( E_{FF} \) are CO\textsubscript{2eq} (kgCO\textsubscript{2eq}/kWh) emissions with traditional energy sources. The reference price for white reflecting surfaces is the cost of paint, marked up of 20% to include the labour cost necessary to produce and efficiently operate the surfaces. The comparison is shown in Table 3.

### Table 3: Comparison of avoided CO\textsubscript{2eq} emission costs between different renewable sources and the white reflecting technology.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Technology</th>
<th>Avoided CO\textsubscript{2eq} costs c€/KgCO\textsubscript{2eq}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ribbon silicon</td>
<td>59.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photovoltaic mono/multicrystalline silicon</td>
<td>60.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermal solar (flat collector)</td>
<td>30.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wind generator</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydroelectrical</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reflecting surface made by lime paint</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reflecting surface made by vinyl acrylic paint</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Thus, the use of reflecting surfaces can be an important alternative to compensate for greenhouse gas introduction in the atmosphere. With a price ranging from 5.0 c€/KgCO\textsubscript{2eq} down to 4.0 c€/KgCO\textsubscript{2eq} such a technology shows reduction costs in line with the most affordable renewable sources, with the added advantage of simplicity reduced installation time. Such features makes this technology particularly suitable for countries where higher levels of insolation make the system even more effective and valuable in terms of avoided CO\textsubscript{2}. A surface installed in a Saharan desert area is expected to have an effectiveness 3-4 times higher than an equivalent surface elsewhere. Therefore, a cooperation research project is going to start among Italian research centers (CIRIAF – Interuniversitary Center on Pollution from Physical Agents, CRC – Climate Research Center, CRB – Biomass Research Center, IPASS – Consortium for Environmental Engineering and Sustainable Development, CETMA – Center for Design and Technologies of Materials) and Tunisian scientific and operative agencies in order to test the suitability of the proposed technology.
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BACKGROUND

IPCC Fourth Assessment Report say that Earth’s average temperature increase is due to anthropogenic causes.

Approaches to tackle Global Warming are aimed to prevent CO$_2$ release into atmosphere

These methods are also supported by recent policies as:
• Emission Trading Scheme (ETS)
• Kyoto Protocol
• European 20-20-20 Programme

Are there complementary approaches to tackle Global Warming?
The answer may be found in the Earth thermal balance.

An important role is played by Earth Albedo = shortwave energy reflected by the Earth towards outer space.
• An increase on Earth albedo corresponds on Earth temperature decrease.

• The proposal is to artificially slightly modify Earth albedo in order to compensate Earth temperature increase due to Global Warming

To make it viable and controllable

1. A proper thermal balance model has been formulated which links Earth albedo to Earth temperature

2. A proper relation has been also proposed which links Earth albedo to CO₂ concentration variation
MODEL SCHEME

Energy balance (both in short and long wave spectrum) is governed by:

\[ w_3 + w_1 + q_3 + q_1 - w_2 - w'_2 - q_2 - q'_2 = C_{\text{atm}} \cdot \frac{dT_{\text{atm}}(\tau)}{d\tau} \]  
(Atmosphere)

\[ w_2 + q_2 - w_3 - q_3 = C_{\text{Earth}} \cdot \frac{dT_{\text{Earth}}(\tau)}{d\tau} \]  
(Earth)
MODEL MOTHER RELATIONS

\[ w_3 + w_1 + q_3 + q_1 - w_2 - w'_2 - q_2 - q'_2 = C_{\text{atm}} \cdot \frac{dT_{\text{atm}}(\tau)}{d\tau} \]  \hspace{1cm} \text{(Atmosphere)}

\[ w_2 + q_2 - w_3 - q_3 = C_{\text{Earth}} \cdot \frac{dT_{\text{Earth}}(\tau)}{d\tau} \]  \hspace{1cm} \text{(Earth)}

Earth surface \((C_{\text{Earth}})\) and atmosphere \((C_{\text{atm}})\) “heat capacities” represent equivalent heat capacities because only a portion of each body takes part to energy balance. Determination of \(C_{\text{Earth}}\) and \(C_{\text{atm}}\) has been carried out by calibrating the model with the climatic data reported by the Fourth Assessment Report by IPCC.
MODEL OUTPUT

By considering a steady state condition: $T_{\text{Earth}}$ (Earth temperature) may be attained by the following relation:

$$T_{\text{Earth}} = \frac{-1}{\sigma_0 \alpha_3 (-1 - \rho_2 + \rho_2 r_2 - \rho_3 r_2 - \tau_2 r_3 r_2 + \tau_2)} \left[ -\sigma_0 \alpha_3 \cdot (\rho_2 - \tau_2 - 1) \cdot \omega_1 \cdot (r_3 r_2 - 1) \cdot \right.$$ 

$$(t_2 \rho_2 \rho_3 - t_2 r_3 + t_3 + t_3 r_3 - t_2 r_3 + \rho_3 - \rho_3 r_2 - \rho_3 r_2 - \rho_3 r_2 - r_2^2 \rho_3 + r_2^2 r_3 + r_3 - t_2 \rho_3 t_2 + r_3) r_2 + t_2 - 1 + r_2 +$$

$$- r_2^2 r_3 + t_2^2 r_3 + t_2 r_3) \right] \cdot (r_3 r_2 - 1)^2$$

$\alpha_3$ infrared Earth’s surface absorption coefficient
$\rho_2$ infrared atmosphere reflection coefficient
$\rho_3$ infrared Earth’s surface reflection coefficient
$\sigma_0$ Stefan-Boltzmann constant
$\tau_2$ infrared atmosphere transmission coefficient
$\omega_1$ incoming solar flux (342 W/m$^2$)
$r_2$ atmosphere reflection coefficient in the solar spectrum
$r_3$ Earth’s surface albedo in the solar spectrum
$t_2$ atmosphere transmission coefficient in the solar spectrum

As you can see, $T_{\text{Earth}}$ depends on $r_3$ (Earth Albedo) which is artificially and impactless modifiable.

$$\left( \frac{dT_{\text{Earth}}}{dr_3} \right) = \text{Sensibility of } T_{\text{Earth}} \text{ to albedo}$$

F.Rossi, A. Nicolini, CIRIAF – University of Perugia, Italy
Earth temperature average increase since preindustrial era to the present day is 0.67 °C (IPCC Fourth Assessment Report).

Albedo modification can produce a 0.67 °C reduction in Earth average temperature.

The proposed model shows that the average absorption coefficient of Earth surface $a_3 (=1-r_3)$ in shortwave spectrum is to be reduced from:

$$0.848 \text{ to } 0.834 \ (a_3').$$
If albedo modification is achieved by artificial “laying high albedo surfaces (albedo $r = 0.9$)”, the required area $S_r$ is given:

$$S_r = \frac{(a_3' - a_3) \cdot S_T}{1 - r - a_3}$$

- $a_3$ Earth’s surface absorption coefficient in the visible spectrum
- $a_3'$ Earth’s surface absorption coefficient in the visible spectrum modified by laying white reflecting surfaces
- $r$ artificial surface albedo
- $S_T$ extent of Earth’s surface

$S_r$ is $9.36 \cdot 10^6 \text{ km}^2$ @ $r = 0.9$

(This is roughly the area of USA)
To relate temperature increase to CO₂ concentration variation, the following hypothesis has been considered:

CO₂ concentration increase since preindustrial to the present day is the only cause which yielded the Earth temperature increase.

\[ +1.23 \cdot 10^{12} \text{ tCO}_2\text{eq} \]  \[ + 0.67 ^\circ \text{C} \pm 0.18 ^\circ \text{C} \]  

(CO₂eq total mass increase)  
(Earth temperature increase)  

(By IPCC Fourth Assessment Report data)

\[ \begin{cases} \text{CO}_2 \text{ concentration increase} \\ 280 \text{ ppm (pre-industrial era)} \rightarrow > 380 \text{ ppm (today)} \end{cases} \]
The High Albedo Surface area $S_{eq}$ required to offset the effect of introducing in the atmosphere 1 ton of $CO_{2eq}$ has been obtained as follows:

$$S_{eq} = \frac{S_r}{\Delta CO_{2eq}} = \frac{9.36 \cdot 10^{12} \text{ m}^2}{1.23 \cdot 10^{12} \text{ tCO}_{2eq}} = 7.6 \frac{\text{ m}^2}{\text{ tCO}_{2eq}}$$

$S_r$ = High Albedo Surface area required to offset a 0.67 °C Earth temperature increase.

$\Delta CO_{2eq}$ = $CO_{2eq}$ total mass increase from preindustrial to the present day

In terms of Radiative Forcing, a drop of 0.28 W/m$^2$ is obtained for each $10^6$ km$^2$ of surfaces with 0.9 albedo.
HOW TO ARTIFICIALLY MODIFY ALBEDO?

SOLUTIONS AND APPLICATIONS

1. Whitening treatment of industrial building roofs

2. Whitening treatment of highways and parking areas

3. Reactivation of unused salt lakes

4. Installation of new saltworks in low value areas (industrial areas or petrochemical sites on the coasts)

5. Plantings of species with particular chromatic properties

6. Artificial white reflecting floating islands

(Italian patents PG2006A0086 and PG2007A0009)
ALREADY CERTIFIED EXAMPLES

A typical reflecting painting realized on silos (Perugia, Italy)

Reflecting covering of a Total Service station (Fiorenzuola, motorway A1, Italy)
## AVOIDED CO₂ EMISSIONS (COMPARISON WITH RENEWABLE SOURCES)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Technology (CO₂eq Emissions)</th>
<th>Reference Technology (CO₂eq Emissions)</th>
<th>Avoided emissions gCO₂eq/kWh</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ribbon silicon (35 gCO₂eq/kWhₑ)</td>
<td>Combined cycle gas turbine (400 gCO₂eq/kWhₑ)</td>
<td>365</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photovoltaic mono/multicrystalline silicon (45 gCO₂eq/kWhₑ)</td>
<td></td>
<td>355</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wind generator (6 gCO₂eq/kWhₑ)</td>
<td></td>
<td>394</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydroelectrical (15 gCO₂eq/kWhₑ)</td>
<td></td>
<td>385</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermal solar (flat collector) (20 gCO₂eq/kWhₑ)</td>
<td>Boiler stoked with natural gas (230 gCO₂eq/kWhₑ)</td>
<td>210</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Technology</th>
<th>Avoided emissions kgCO₂eq/m²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>White Reflecting Surfaces (0.9 albedo)</td>
<td>130</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TECHNICAL ECONOMICAL EFFECTIVENESS

The mentioned tables do not immediately allow comparison of renewable energy sources with white reflecting technology in terms of avoided CO$_2$, as the former are systems to produce energy whereas the latter is a system to mitigate Earth average global temperature.

A comparison method is proposed, based on the cost required to avoid the same amount of released CO$_2$eq.

\[
C_{CO2} = \frac{CP_{FR} - CP_{FF}}{E_{FF} - E_{FR}} \left[ \frac{c\€}{kgCO_{2eq}} \right]
\]

- $CP_{FR}$: Energy unit production cost for renewable sources \([c\€/kWh]\)
- $CP_{FF}$: Energy unit production cost for traditional sources \([c\€/kWh]\)
- $E_{FR}$: CO$_2$eq emissions with renewable energy sources \([kgCO_{2eq}/kWh]\)
- $E_{FF}$: CO$_2$eq emissions with traditional energy sources \([kgCO_{2eq}/kWh]\)
# TECHNICAL ECONOMICAL EFFECTIVENESS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Technology</th>
<th>Avoided CO$<em>{2eq}$ costs c€/KgCO$</em>{2eq}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ribbon silicon</td>
<td>59.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photovoltaic mono/multicrystalline silicon</td>
<td>60.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermal solar (flat collector)</td>
<td>30.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wind generator</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydroelectrical</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reflecting surface made by lime paint</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reflecting surface made by vinyl acrylic paint</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CONCLUSIONS

Albedo Control can be an important complementary method to compensate greenhouse gases effect on Earth temperature because of:
- Lower costs (4-5 c€/KgCO$_{2eq}$) than renewable energy sources for avoiding the same CO$_2$ effect;
- Easiness and short time for installation;
- Opportunity for countries where higher levels of insulation make the system even more effective and valuable in terms of avoided CO$_2$

ABCD RESEARCH PROJECT
(Albedo – Building green – Control of global warming – Desertification)

A cooperation research project is going to start among Italian research centers and Tunisian scientific and operative agencies. The project main purpose are:
- verify and certify the performances of the proposed technology;
- include Albedo Control method into ETS system
FOR MORE INFO

albedocontrol.com